

  
ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Court's Final Construction
<p>“centralized node for coupling into a computer network”</p> <p>(’781 Patent, claim 1)</p> <p>[Proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning preamble not limiting</p>	<p>the “centralized node” term in the preamble is limiting, and the “identifying,” “constructing,” and “communicating” steps are performed by the “centralized node”</p>	<p>“centralized node for coupling into a computer network” is limiting</p>
<p>“second traffic configuration”</p> <p>(’781 Patent, claims 1, 9, 18)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning</p>	<p>“a multicast routing configuration constructed by the centralized node” (claims 1 and 9)</p> <p>“a multicast routing configuration constructed by a centralized node” (claim 18)</p>	<p>Plain-and-ordinary meaning</p>
<p>“routing algorithm determines the routes in a manner that ensures that failure of a single link in the network affects a minimum amount of a bandwidth B of the traffic demand”</p> <p>(’990 Patent, claim 6)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning</p>	<p>“wherein the routing algorithm determines the routes in a manner that ensures that failure of a single link in the network affects the smallest possible amount of a bandwidth B of the traffic demand”</p>	<p>Plain-and-ordinary meaning</p>

<b>Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Defendants' Proposed Construction</b>	<b>Court's Final Construction</b>
<p>“a network device”</p> <p>(’140 Patent, claims 1–6, 13, 15, 16–19, 34–38)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning</p>	<p>“an MPLS (as opposed to GMPLS) device”</p>	<p>Plain-and-ordinary meaning.</p>
<p>“the device”</p> <p>(’140 Patent, claims 21–24, 31, 33)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning</p> <p>not indefinite</p>	<p>indefinite or to the extent this term is not indefinite, then it should be construed the same as the term “a network device”</p>	<p>Not indefinite. Plain-and-ordinary meaning.</p>
<p>“by itself generate ... a backward path [request / reservation] message” (’140 Patent, claims 1, 16)</p> <p>“generating ... an independent backward path [request / reservation] message” (’140 Patent, claims 21, 23)</p> <p>“by a network device generating ... a backward path reservation message” (’140 Patent, claim 34)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>plain and ordinary meaning</p>	<p>“generate a backward path [request / reservation] message without using specific routing information provided in the forward request, such as bandwidth designations”</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning</p>

Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Court's Final Construction
<p>“A connection device” (preamble of '273 Patent, claim 1)</p> <p>“A router” (preamble of '273 Patent, claim 2)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>the preambles are not limiting</p>	<p>the preambles are limiting</p>	<p>The preambles are limiting</p>
<p>“A system of redundant pair automatic protection switching at the edge of a Virtual Private LAN system (VPLS) network”</p> <p>('656 Patent, preamble of claim 1)</p> <p>[proposed by Juniper]</p>	<p>the preamble of claim 1 is not limiting</p>	<p>the preamble of claim 1 is limiting</p>	<p>The entire preamble is: “A system of redundant pair automatic protection switching at the edge of a Virtual Private LAN System (VPLS) network comprising a redundant pair of provider edge nodes comprising:”</p> <p>The preamble is not limiting other than “a redundant pair of provider edge nodes comprising”</p>